

# Local Work Group development of local EQIP

## ANOKA District FY04 EQIP

1. List the local resource concerns that EQIP can address:

**SURFACE WATER:** Primary concerns are nutrients and sedimentation of lakes, rivers and wetlands. Secondary concern is bacteria.

**GROUND WATER:** Primary concern is nitrate contamination and bacteria. Secondary concern is pesticides.

**HABITAT:** Primary concern is habitat within identified greenway corridors.

**SOIL LOSS:** Primary concern is sedimentation of lakes, rivers and wetlands.

**LOCAL CONCERNS NOT ADDRESSED BY EQIP:** Septic system upgrades and producer eligibility. Horse owners are mostly not eligible for EQIP, although they are a significant livestock in Anoka County. Horse owners should be included as eligible livestock producers in future EQIP signups.

2. If applicable, list any geographic regions (i.e. watersheds, townships, etc.) and their respective resource concerns within the District to receive priority:

**Rum and Sunrise rivers watersheds and direct watersheds for lakes George, East Twin, Martin, Coon and Linwood.**

3. Prioritize and weight each local resource concern for the district. Weight must be between 1 and 10:

Factor	Resource Priority	Weight
A1. Erosion Control	M	2
A2 Gully Control	M	1
B1 Water Resource	H	3
B2 Wastewater/CNMP	H	3
C Habitat Improvement	M	1.5
D Air Quality	L	1
E Impaired Water	L	1
F Distance	H	3
G Grazing System	L	1
H Forest Mgt.	M	1
I Additional Local*	H	3

\* If the additional local concern is scored, describe the concern here and how points will be scored. Include any geographic priorities.

**THE FOLLOWING PRACTICES WILL BE RECEIVE POINTS UNDER FACTOR I: Areas in priority geographic regions where the practice being applied treats a primary resource concern listed under surface water, ground water, habitat improvement or soil loss.**

4. Attach the scoring worksheet as recommended for the district.

**Base worksheet scores will not change. Factors will be weighted as described in item 3. Additional points will be awarded under factor I as recommended by LWG**

5. List any recommended practices to be deleted from the state Conservation Practice Payment Document

**No Changes to the Docket.**

The local EQIP program description, cost-share docket changes, and ranking worksheet must be reviewed and approved by the State Conservationist before any EQIP contract is approved and signed.

This document serves as the Local Work Group recommendation for FY 04 EQIP. Below is a roster of participation in the Local Work Group.

---

Chair, Local Work Group

Date

**ROSTER:**

Chris Lord, Anoka SWCD  
Ed Musielewicz, NRCS  
Kevin Hidde, FSA